



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/08/2004

| APPLICATION NO.             | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.    | CONFIRMATION NO |
|-----------------------------|----------------|----------------------|------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/522,584                  | 03/10/2000     | Toyoaki Furusawa     | 0828.63692             | 5350            |
| 7:                          | 590 07/08/2004 |                      | EXAM                   | INER            |
| Patrick G. Burns Esq.       |                |                      | ENG, DAVID Y           |                 |
| Greer Burns & 300 S. Wacker |                |                      | ART UNIT               | PAPER NUMBER    |
| Suite 2500                  |                |                      | 2155                   |                 |
| Chicago, IL 6               | 60606          |                      | DATE MAILED, 07/00/200 | 4               |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action   | 09/522,584   | FURUSAWA ET AL.   |  |  |  |  |
| Advisory Addion   | Examiner   | Art Unit  |  |  |  |  |
|   | DAVID Y. ENG   | 2155  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 14 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.  | oid abandonment of this applicated abandonment of this applicated application application and abandone applications.   | ation. A proper reply to a places the application in  |  |  |  |  |
| PERIOD FOR RE   | EPLY [check either a) or b)]   |   |  |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing<br>FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe<br>date on which the petition under 37 CF<br>of extension and the corresponding amo<br>the shortened statutory period for reply<br>ce later than three months after the mail | g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or |  |  |  |  |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be   | ecause:  |   |  |  |  |  |
| (a)  they raise new issues that would require further   | er consideration and/or search (   | see NOTE below);  |  |  |  |  |
| (b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b   | pelow);  |   |  |  |  |  |
| (c)  they are not deemed to place the application in<br>issues for appeal; and/or   | n better form for appeal by mate   | rially reducing or simplifying the  |  |  |  |  |
| (d)  they present additional claims without canceli   | ng a corresponding number of f   | inally rejected claims.   |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE:   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).   | be allowable if submitted in a se  | eparate, timely filed amendment   |  |  |  |  |
| 5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se   |  | dered but does NOT place the  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.   | ause it is not directed SOLELY t   | to issues which were newly  |  |  |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed:   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected:  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app  | roved or b)☐ disapproved by t  | he Examiner.  |  |  |  |  |
| Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 10. Other:  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| <del></del>   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |   |  |  |  |  |

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants' arguments are not persuasive and the rejections are proper. Note that the key information extraction means is recited for examining the title or body of an e-mail message. Applicants fail to explain why Nicholls does not meet the limitation. Nicholls' keywords are certainly within the e-mail. Applicants further fail to explain why the feature is patentable distinct over Nicholls. Simply poining out what a claim requires with no attempt to point out how the claim patentably distinguishes over the prior art does not amount to a separate argument for patentability. In re Nielson, 816 F.2d 1567, 1572, 2 USPQ2d 1525, 1528 (Fed. Cir. 1987).

DAVID Y. ENG
PRIMARY EXAMINES